li I			
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Autorney General of California		
2	CARA I DRAKE		
3 .	Acting Senior Assistant Attorney General T. Michelle Laird	•	
	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 162979		
4	110 West A Street, Suite 1100		
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2323		
7	Fax: (619) 645-2012 E-mail: <u>Michelle Laird@doi.ca.gov</u>		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	Jacob A. Appelsmith, Chief of the Bureau of Gambling Control		
10			
11	BEFORE THE		
	CALIFORNIA GAMBLING CONTROL COMMISSION		
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	5122,55		
14			
15			
16	In The Maner of the Statement of Issues	OAH Case No. 2009090804	
17	Against:	BGC Case No. DGC015001	
1.8	KEVIN FREELS AND NEW GAMING SYSTEMS, INC.,	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT,	
19		DECISION AND ORDER	
	Respondents.		
20	Keapottoenta.		
21			
22			
23		t e	
24			
25		•	
26			•
27			
28 /	ETAN KAZAN	1 .	₽ĕ
,		Stipulated Settlement, Decision and Order	(DGC915001)

[] 12

13

14 15

16 17

> 18 19

20

21 22

23 24

> 25 26

> > 27 28

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the aboveentitled proceeding that the following matters are true:

- lacob A. Appelsmith (Complainant) is the Chief of the Bureau of Gambling Control, 1. California Department of Justice (Bureau). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this matter by T. Michelle Laird, Deputy Attorney General.
- Kevin Freels and Now Gaming Systems, Inc. (Respondents) are represented by Attorney John P. Panneton, 845 University Ave., Sacramento, California, 95825, telephone (916) 921-9890.
- Respondent Freels, principal owner and President of Respondent New Gaming 3. Systems, Inc., submitted an application for a finding of suitability as a Garning Resource Supplier to the Division of Gambling Control (now Bureau) on or about January 24, 2001.2 Thereafter, the Bureau recommended to the Gambling Control Commission (Commission) that Respondents' application be denied. Respondents requested an evidentiary hearing before the Commission and, on November 3, 2005, the matter was referred by the Commission to the Office of Administrative Hearings for an evidentiary hearing before an Administrative Law Judge.

JURISDICTION

- Statement of Issues No. DGC015001 was filed before the Commission on or about June 28, 2007, and is currently pending against Respondents. The Statement of Issues and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondents on July 27, 2007. Respondents timely filed a Notice of Defense contesting the allegations in the Statement of Issues. A copy of Statement of Issues No. DGC015001 is attached as exhibit A and is incorporated herein by reference.
- The parties agree that the Commission has jurisdiction over this matter and has authority to approve this Stipulated Settlement, Decision and Order (Stipulation).

Iacob A. Appelsmith is substituted as the Complainant for Robert E. Lytle, Ir., Director of the former Division of Gambling Control. All further references are to the Bureau, regardless of whether it was called the Bureau

or the Division during the relevant time.

6. This Stipulation is subject to final approval by the Commission. This Stipulation shall be submitted by the Bureau to the Commission for approval at a noticed Commission meeting. Respondents understand and agree that Respondents may not withdraw this agreement or seek to rescind this Stipulation prior to the time the Commission considers and acts upon it. If the Commission fails to adopt this Stipulation as its decision and order, this Stipulation shall be of no force or effect, except that Complainant and Respondents agree that this Stipulation shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties or for any purpose, and that the Commission shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

7. If the Commission rejects this Stipulation as its decision and order, and no amended agreement or settlement is reached before two additional regularly noticed Commission meetings have concluded or sixty days have elapsed, whichever is later, the Bureau shall request the scheduling of a formal evidentiary hearing of Statement of Issues No. DGC015001 before an Administrative Law Judge from the Office of Administrative Hearings.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 8. Respondents have carefully read, discussed with counsel, and fully understand the allegations and Causes for Denial in Statement of Issues No. DGC015001. Respondents have also carefully read, discussed with counsel, and fully understand the effects of this Stipulation.
- 9. Respondents are fully aware of their legal rights in this matter, including the right to an administrative evidentiary hearing on the allegations and Causes for Denial in the Statement of Issues; the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses; the right to present evidence and to testify; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 10. Respondents, in consultation with their attorney, voluntarily, knowingly; and intelligently waive and give up each and every right set forth in paragraph 9, above, with respect to this matter, and give up any right to challenge by way of hearing the allegations and Causes for

Denial in Statement of Issues No. DGC015001, with respect to this matter, and to challenge or collaterally attack the terms of this Stipulation.

11. Respondents agree that counsel for the Bureau and the staff of the Bureau may communicate directly with Commission staff regarding this Stipulation, without notice to, or participation by, Respondents or their counsel, prior to the Commission's consideration of this Stipulation at a noticed Commission meeting, and that no such communications shall be deemed a prohibited ex parte communication.

ADMISSIONS

- 12. The admissions made by Respondents herein arc only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Bureau or the Commission is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding. Respondents hereby make the following admissions:
- a. In 2005, Respondent Freels was convicted of misdemeanor trespass and vandalism in connection with a domestic matter.
- b. Between 1999 and 2004, the National Indian Gaming Commission (NIGC) issued a single Notice of Violation each to the Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma, the Absentee Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma and the Coyote Valley Band of Porno Indians of California for operating class III gaming devices in the absence of a tribal-state compact. These Notices of Violation were based, in part, on the operation of devices provided to those tribes by entities of which Respondent Freels was a principal. Respondent Freels contends the devices provided to each of the tribes were class II devices and the Bureau contends they were class III devices.
- c. In 2001, Respondent Freels failed to include on the "business information" section of his application for a finding of suitability submitted to the Bureau that he held a business interest in Capital Sweepstakes, Inc.
- d. In 2000, Respondent Freels failed to include on his vendor license application to the Viejas Tribal Gaming Commission certain business relationships with other tribes and a 1994 civil action involving Respondents, as detailed on page 11, lines 11 through 24 of the Statement of Issues.

•

c. In 1999, Respondent New Gaming Systems, Inc., an entity of which Respondent
reels was a principal, supplied gambling devices and related services to the St. Regis Mohawk
Fribe of New York without first obtaining a valid registration from the New York State licensin
Board, as detailed on page 12, lines 3 through 13 of the Statement of Issues. Respondent Freels
contends a temporary registration had been issued before gambling devices were installed.

- f. In 1999, Capital Sweepstakes, Inc., an entity in which Respondent Freels held a business interest, possessed three telephone card sweepstakes devices, deemed unlawful by the Bureau, and placed them in a California cardroom for use by the public.
- g. In 1998, the NIGC issued a Notice of Violation to AKA Industries, an entity of which Respondent Freels was a principal, for managing gaming operations on tribal lands without NIGC approval. The Notice of Violation was resolved by confidential settlement agreement between the NIGC and AKA Industries.

OTHER MATTERS

13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing agreements, admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Commission may issue and enter the following Order:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondents will be issued a conditional finding of suitability, beginning on the effective date of this Stipulation, Decision and Order and subject to the following terms and conditions:

- 1. The conditional finding of suitability shall be effective for four (4) years, beginning on the effective date of this Stipulation, and the terms and conditions herein shall be placed upon the finding of suitability and any permit, license, approval or registration issued by the Commission as a result.
- 2. Respondents may conduct business as a Gaming Resource Supplier in California but their authority to sell, lease or otherwise engage in the offer for operation of gambling devices in

California is limited to business with the Chicken Ranch Rancheria of Me-Wuk Indians, pursuant to the terms of that Tribe's class III gaming compact with the State of California (1999 Tribal-State Compact).

- Respondents' conditional finding of suitability shall be subject to renewal every two
 years from the effective date of this Stipulation, consistent with the biennial compliance review required by the 1999 Tribal-State Compact.
- 4. Respondents shall report to the Bureau any and all allegations made by any tribal gaming agency, tribal gaming operation or tribal gaming facility and by any state or federal agency of any violation of laws, rules or regulations against Kevin Freels, New Gaming Systems, Inc., or any entity of which Respondent Freels is a principal, occurring during the term of the conditional finding of suitability, within ten (10) days of knowledge of any such allegation(s).
- 5. Respondents shall report to the Bureau any and all administrative, civil or criminal proceedings filed by or against Kevin Freels, New Gaming Systems, Inc., or by or against any entity of which Respondent Freels is a principal, occurring during the term of the conditional finding of suitability, within ten (10) days of knowledge of any such proceeding(s).
- 6. Respondents shall engage an independent accountant licensed by the California Board of Accountancy to review New Gaming Systems, Inc.'s annual financial statements in accordance with standards for accounting and review services and to submit such annual reviews to the Bureau within sixty (60) days of the end of New Gaming Systems, Inc.'s fiscal year for a period of four (4) years from the effective date of this Stipulation.
- 7. Respondents shall submit New Gaming System, Inc.'s accounts payable journal to the Bureau within sixty (60) days of the end of New Gaming Systems, Inc.'s fiscal year for a period of four (4) years from the effective date of this Stipulation, which journal shall include a listing of all entities with whom New Gaming Systems, Inc. has conducted any business.
- 8. If at any time during the four (4) year term of the conditional finding of suitability, Respondents Freels or New Gaming Systems, Inc. violate the California Gambling Control Act, the Bureau's or Commission's regulations, the 1999 Tribal-State Compact or the terms of this Stipulation, as determined after a duly noticed hearing, Respondents' conditional finding of

Nothing herein shall have any impact upon the Bureau's or the Commission's discretion to pursue disciplinary action against Respondents for violation(s) of the California Gambling Control Act, the Bureau's or Commission's regulations, the 1999 Tribal-State Compact or this Stipulation occurring during the term of Respondents' conditional finding of suitability, or impose upon the Bureau or the Commission any obligation to pursue any such action. Nothing herein shall have any impact upon the Bureau's or the Commission's discretion to pursue disciplinary action against the conditional finding of suitability, and any permit, license, approval or registration issued as a result, for any act or omission not specified in the Bureau's Vendor Background Investigation Report dated December 31, 2004 (revised September 30, 2005), regardless of when it occurred, or impose upon the Bureau or the Commission any obligation to pursue any such action.

- 9. If ne violations of the California Gambling Control Act, the Bureau's or Commission's regulations, the 1999 Tribal-State Compact or the terms of this Stipulation occur during the term of Respondents' conditional finding of suitability, as determined after a duly noticed hearing, none of the facts alleged in the Statement of Issues or any admissions made herein may be used by the Bureau to recommend denial of any subsequent application, including any renewal application, submitted by Kevin Freels, New Gaming Systems, Inc. or by any entity in which Respondent Freels is a principal, or to pursue disciplinary action against same.
- 10. If no violations of the California Gambling Control Act, the Bureau's or Commission's regulations, the 1999 Tribal-State Compact or the terms of this Stipulation occur during the term of Respondents' conditional finding of suitability, as determined after a duly noticed hearing, the conditions imposed upon Respondents' finding of suitability, and any permit. Finding of suitability and any permit. Finding of suitability are an any permit terminate. However, Respondents will continue to be subject to any and all laws, rules and regulations applicable to any non-conditional finding of suitability, permit, license, approval or registration.
- 11. Respondents will be responsible for paying costs incurred by the Bureau in the amount of \$300,000. Half of these costs (\$150,000) will be due within ten (10) days of the

·

effective date of this Stipulation. The remaining half of these costs will be waived if no 1 violations of the California Gambling Control Act, the Bureau's or Commission's regulations, the 2 1999 Tribal-State Compact or the terms of this Stipulation occur during the term of Respondents' 3 conditional finding of suitability, as determined after a duly noticed hearing. If any such violation 4 is found, the previously waived portion of the fine will immediately be due and payable. 5 ACCEPTANCE 6 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement, Decision and Order and have fully 7 discussed it with my attorney, John P. Panneton. I understand this Stipulation and the effect it 8 will have on the conditional finding of suitability and any permit, license, approval or registration 9 issued as a result. I enter into this Stipulation voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree 10 to be bound by the Order of the Commission. ïl Dated: May 18, 2010 12 13 14 Himself Individually and as President of New Gaming Systems, Inc., Respondents 15 ENDORSEMENT 16 I have read and fully discussed with Respondents the terms and conditions and other 17 matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement, Decision and Order. I approve its form and 18 content. 19 Dated: May 18, 2010 20 2.1 22 Attorney for Respondents 23 COMPLAINANT'S ACCEPTANCE 24 Dated: May ____, 2010 25 26 JACOB A. APPELSMITH, ESQ. Chief, Bureau of Gambling Control, Complainant 27 28 Stipulated Settlement, Decision and Order (DGC015001)

effective date of this Stipulation. The remaining half of these costs will be waived if no violations of the California Gambling Control Act, the Bureau's or Commission's regulations, the 1999 Tribal-State Compact or the terms of this Stipulation occur during the term of Respondents' conditional finding of suitability, as determined after a duly noticed hearing. If any such violation is found, the previously waived portion of the fine will immediately be due and payable. ACCEPTANCE I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement, Decision and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, John P. Panneton. I understand this Stipulation and the effect it will have on the conditional finding of suitability and any permit, license, approval or registration issued as a result. I enter into this Stipulation voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Order of the Commission. Dated: May 19, 2010 For Himself Individually and as President of New Gaming Systems, Inc., Respondents ENDORSEMENT 16 I have read and fully discussed with Respondents the terms and conditions and other 17 matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement, Decision and Order. I approve its form and 18 19 content. Dated: May /8, 2010 20 21 22 Attorney for Respondents 23 COMPLAINANT'S ACCEPTANCE 24 Dated: May 25, 2010 25 26 27 28

ĭ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

Chief, Bureau of Grambling Control, Complainant 8 Stipulated Settlement, Decision and Order (DGC015001)

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement, Decision and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the California Gambling Control Commission.

Dated: May 104 2010

1

2

3

4

6 7 8

C)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California

Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

DECISION AND ORDER

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement of the parties "In the Marter of the Statement of Issues Against Kewin Freely and New Garning Systems, Inc.," Bureau of Gambling Control Case No. DGC015001, OAH Case No. 2009090804, including all attached exhibits, is hereby adopted as the final Decision and Order of the California Gambling Control Commission, and is effective on the date of its execution by the Commission

IT IS SO ORDERED.

2010 Dated:

> DEAN SHELTON, CHAIRMAN California Gambling Control Sommission

27 28

24

25 26

DECISION AND ORDER

DECISION AND ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

The foregoing Stipulation has been adopted by a majority vote of the Commission as its final decision and order in this matter and is effective upon execution below by the Commission members.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: <u>4-28-11</u>	Signature: Alessan Shoman Stephanie Shimazu, Acting Chairperson
Dated: 4 (28/2011	Signature: TiffankÉ. Conklin, Commissioner
Dated: 4/28/2011	Signature: Hauren Hammond, Commissioner